

What are Standpoints?

A SU 'Standpoint' is a formal position, or stance, that The SU adopts on a particular issue, topic, or aspect of University life. Standpoints should be used to guide all Students' Union representatives on what the student opinion is on a range of issues. Standpoints from 2024-25 academic year also include agreed actions for The SU. Standpoints and actions are approved, amended, and overseen by SUmmit.

How are Standpoints created?

Any student can propose a new Standpoint by submitting a Statement of Issue, guidance for this is on our <u>Standpoint</u> <u>webpages</u>. SUmmit discuss and vote on Statement of Issue proposals, and then form and decide on Standpoint wording and actions before voting on whether the Standpoint and actions should be adopted by The SU.

What is 'SUmmit'?

SUmmit is made up of a range of student leaders and elected representatives who vote to create Standpoints (SU stances and actions on any given issue) and hold the SU Officers to account. Read more on our <u>SUmmit webpage</u>.





All Standpoints are prefaced with The SU believes that...

Standpoint	Actions	Proposer	SUmmit approval	Date of expiry/review
The University must continue to constantly seek student feedback on engagement monitoring and data collection.	 The organisation of a Q&A session with students and University leaders on Engagement Monitoring and the plans moving forwards. The Education Officer to ask the University for clear definition of Attendance and Engagement, as to differentiate between the two. Once next steps have been proposed, The SU to organise ongoing events for students to provide feedback. The Education Officer to request a clear list from the University about what data will be collected and how this will be used. 	Amber Snary, Education Officer	19 November 2024	NA
The University should prioritise student welfare and academic equity with a standardised response to late submission of assessment.	 Explore the intricacies of the submission penalty system across Departments regarding the length of coursework. Come up with alternative ways of how else this could look and bring it back to SUmmit. 	Helen Slater, Open Place Member	02 December 2024	NA
The University shares responsibility with the SU for ensuring the inclusion, dignity, and safety of trans, non-binary and gender diverse students, addressing systemic barriers and promoting a culture of respect at all levels	 Explore an alternative way to display pronouns other than library cards including considerations of language options. Lobby the University to push for mandatory training for contracted and casual staff on interaction with all students. Further explore the name changing process for all students in relation to transition, marriage, divorce etc. Request that the University expand the list of pronouns on the library card including mixed pronouns. Lobby the University to increase resources for DDAT for improving mixed databases that allow for better integration of data. 	Eesha Ganesh and Isobel Shone, Diversity and Support Exec members	02 December 2024	NA



	·		1	I
The SU believes that the University should amend its IMC and extension policies and processes and standardise these across departments in accordance with its EDI Commitments.	 Advocate for an increase to the deadline for IMC applications Push for explicit acceptance/rejection emails with detailed reasoning when an IMC is rejected Seek the option to receive extensions after a deadline has passed. Represent the student views through the inclusive education steering group. Continue work on the standpoint on allowing students with IMCs the option to resit affected exams (even if they do not fail) Standardise processes across departments. SUmmit members must have the option of being consulted through the review process. Lobby for a reduction in evidence requirements and self-certification. 	William Riddell and Helen Slater, Open place members	04 March 2025	NA
TI OUL II II III		\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	0414 1 0005	
The SU believes that the University careers services should implement an ethical careers policy to ensure that it is not complicit in platforming the companies most responsible for the climate crisis.	 Assess existing relationships with fossil fuel companies: Conduct a full review of all recruitment-related agreements between the university and oil, gas and mining companies. Publish a report detailing these relationships that is made available to students and staff of the university. Lobby the University:	Xandi Drysdale, Open place member	04 March 2025	NA
	 To refuse all new relationships with oil, gas and mining companies in the CU200. To decline to renew any current relationships with these companies once the contractually obligated periods end. For every fossil fuel company removed from recruitment partnerships, the careers team should aim to establish at least two new relationships with companies in the 			



	renewable energy of sustainability sector Policy should be implemented on a trial basis, where it is under continuous scrutiny and review. Listen to students: Student feedback on the policy changes and specific company exclusions should be continuously collected and policy altered accordingly Differential impacts between student groups, e.g. students with low household income, should be particularity considered here.			
The SU believes that lecture recordings should be mandatory for all taught content and must meet a consistent and accessible standard, ensuring they are clear, promptly available, and retained throughout the course duration.	 All lectures should be recorded Make sure all taught content is clearly visible and audible on the recording. Through a consultation with students, prepare a checklist of minimum requirements as to what makes an effective and useful recording. Make sure lecturers know how to make an effective recording and that they are aware of any limitations (e.g., things not showing up on the recordings) Speed up the release of recordings so students do not have to wait to catch up if they miss a lecture. Not delete lecture recordings and make sure they are available to students for the duration of their course / degree. 	Robbie Altham, Academic Exec	22 April 2025	NA
The SU believes that there should be adequate sensory friendly workspaces available.	 Conduct an evaluation of current spaces to determine the stimuli in them Prioritise lobbying for more spaces dedicated for study such that there is greater capacity for low-sensory areas Investigate the possibility of using existing study spaces for low sensory hours 	Star Dootson, DAG Rep	22 April 2025	NA



	·			
	Ensure that room descriptions - including accessibility			
	information - are regularly updated			
The SU believes that sport should	Mandate trans-inclusion training for all club committees	Eesha Ganesh, D&S	22 April 2025	NA
be inclusive, equitable, and	Require clubs to explicitly embed Trans Inclusion into their	Exec, and Angus		
accessible for all students,	practices	Gueterbock, Sports		
regardless of gender identity,	Develop a 'Trans Inclusion Charter' for SU Sport	Exec		
and that trans and gender-	Celebrate trans and gender-diverse athletes through SU			
diverse athletes deserve respect,	Channels			
fair participation, and a safe	Treat transphobia as equally severe in discipline processes			
environment. The SU is	compared to existing anti-discrimination policies for issues			
committed to challenging	such as misogyny, racism and xenophobia.			
discrimination, advocating for	Create a comprehensive document pertaining to the			
evidence based policies, and	accessibility and eligibility criterion for participation in SU			
upholding the dignity of all	sports. This document will be publicly accessible to all students			
athletes	and will serve as an easy-to-understand gateway into			
	university sports.			
	Collaborate with other SUs to lobby National Governing Bodies			
	(NGBs) to ensure decisions on trans inclusion are based on			
	robust, sport-specific evidence produced by independent,			
	trustworthy researchers — not influenced by political pressure			
	or ideologically motivated groups.			
	Consider community consultation after standpoint passage,			
	strongly evaluating any possible risks to marginalised			
	communities that such a process may entail prior to execution.			
	Ensure the process does not put marginalised communities at			
	any risk.			
	Detailed sub-actions are available for all of the above actions			
	by clicking this link.			